Vinylogy in Orthoester Hydrolysis: Total Syntheses of Cyclophellitol, Valienamine, Gabosine K, Valienone, Gabosine G, 1-epi-Streptol, Streptol, and Uvamalol A

Soumik Mondal, Annamalai Prathap, and Kana M. Sureshan*

School of Chemistry, Indian Institute of Science Education and Research Thi[ru](#page-9-0)vananthapuram, Thiruvananthapuram, Kerala 695016, India

S Supporting Information

[AB](#page-9-0)STRACT: [C7-cyclitols r](#page-9-0)epresent an important category of natural products possessing a broad spectrum of biological activities. As each member of these compounds is structurally unique, the usual practice is to synthesize them individually from appropriate polyhydroxylated chiral pools. We have observed an unusual vinylogy in acid mediated hydrolysis of enol ethers of myo-inositol 1,3,5-orthoesters giving a synthetically versatile polyhydroxylated cyclohexenal intermediate. We have exploited this unprecedented reaction for developing a general strategy for the rapid

and efficient syntheses of several structurally diverse natural products of C7-cyclitol family. We have made an appropriately protected advanced intermediate 25 in five steps from the cheap and commercially available *myo-*inositol, and this common intermediate has been used to synthesize eight natural products in racemic form. We could synthesize (\pm) -cyclophellitol in seven steps, (\pm) -valienamine in five steps, (\pm) -gabosine I in five steps, (\pm) -gabosine G in six steps, (\pm) -gabosine K in three steps, (\pm) -streptol in six steps, (\pm) -1-epi-streptol in two steps, and (\pm) -uvamalol A in five steps from this intermediate.

■ INTRODUCTION

C7-cyclitols, polyhydroxycyclohexane with an exocyclic methyl or hydroxymethyl substituent, represent an important category of natural products possessing a broad spectrum of biological activities such as anticancer, antibacterial, antifungal, HIV inhibitory, enzyme inhibitory activities, etc.¹⁻⁵ Gabosines,² pericosines, 3 cyclophellitol, 4 C7-aminocyclitols⁵ such as valienamine, valiolamine and validamine are some of [the](#page-9-0) members [of](#page-9-0) this family [o](#page-9-0)f cyclitols (Fi[gu](#page-9-0)re 1). For instan[ce](#page-9-0), cyclophellitol, isolated from the mushroom Phellinus sp., is a potent β glucosidase inhibitor, and it also possesses HIV inhibitory activity.⁷ Valienamine, a strong α -glucosida[se](#page-9-0) inhibitor,⁸ is a metabolite in the microbial 9 degradation of validoxylamine A. Gabosi[ne](#page-9-0) I (valienone), gabosine G and gabosine K a[re](#page-9-0) the

Pigure 1. Representative C-7 cyclitol natural products.
Published: July 24, 2013
Published: July 24, 2013

prominent members of gabosine family. They were isolated from Streptomyces strain and shown to possess antibiotic, anticancer and DNA binding properties.¹⁰ Streptol (valienol) was also isolated from Streptomyces sp., and it has plant growth inhibitory activity.¹¹ [1-](#page-9-0)epi-Streptol $(1-\epsilon p i$ -valienol) is an intermediate involved in the biosynthesis of acarbose, an α glucosidase inhibito[r,](#page-9-0) in *Actinoplanes* and *Streptomyces*.¹² Many of these natural cyclitols have been the targets of several total syntheses due to their attractive biological prop[ert](#page-9-0)ies. $2,13$ Because of their structural diversity, it has been a usual practice to synthesize them individually from different polyhydroxyl[ated](#page-9-0) chiral pools such as D-glucose, D-xylose, quebrachitol, etc., and these strategies often necessitate multiple protecting group manipulations.¹⁴ We herein report an unusual conjugative ringopening of the orthoester cage in myo-inositol 1,3,5-orthoesters giving a synt[het](#page-10-0)ically versatile polyhydroxylated cyclohexenal intermediate and the exploitation of this unprecedented reaction in developing a general strategy for the rapid and efficient syntheses of eight structurally diverse natural products of C7-cyclitol family, namely, (\pm) -cyclophellitol, (\pm) -valienamine, (\pm) -gabosine K, (\pm) -gabosine G, (\pm) -valienone, (\pm) -1epi-streptol, (\pm) -streptol and (\pm) -uvamalol A.

■ RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Because of the importance of carbohydrates in various biological processes, sugar mimics received much attention as

Received: June 13, 2013

a
Reagents and conditions: (a) PPh₃CH₂OMeCl, ^tBuOK, THF, 0 °C to reflux, 2 h, (14; 92%, 20; 90%). (b) 0.1 M aq. HCl, THF, rt, 1 h. (c) NaBH₄, CeCl₃, MeOH, 0 °C, 1 h (17 + 18; 90% 2 steps, 22; 91%, 2 steps).

potential inhibitors for the glycan processing enzymes.¹⁵ Inositols, cyclohexane hexols, are carbocyclic mimics of hexopyranoses lacking the exocyclic hydroxymethyl group. [As](#page-10-0) part of our program to synthesize inhibitors of various glycosidases, we planned to synthesize various carbasugars from inositols by converting a hydroxyl group to an exocyclic hydroxymethyl group via the one carbon homologation of the corresponding protected inosose to the exocyclic aldehyde by adopting the method of Barton et al. (Scheme 1A).¹⁶ In order to test this hypothesis, readily available unsymmetrical inosose $13¹⁷$ was converted to the Wittig product 14 as an [ins](#page-10-0)eparable mixture of E/Z (1:1) isomers in 92% yield. Acidic hydrolysis of 14 [s](#page-10-0)urprisingly gave an inseparable mixture of α , β -unsaturated aldehydes 15 and 16 (Scheme 1B) instead of the expected aldehyde 10a. This mixture of aldehydes on reduction gave alcohols 17 and 18, which could be separated by chromatography. The stereochemistry of alcohols 17 and 18 were assigned after acetylation. In order to check the generality of this unusual reaction, the methoxy-Wittig product 20 obtained from the known symmetrical inosose 19^{18} was treated with dilute acid. Interestingly, in this case also, the corresponding enal 21 (Scheme 1C) was formed along [wit](#page-10-0)h its formate ester as an inseparable mixture, which on reduction gave the triol 22 as the only product (91%) suggesting that the observed vinylogous ring-opening reaction is general (see Supporting Information for mechanistic details). This intriguing reaction is very attractive as many natural C7-cyclitols and an[alogues can](#page-9-0) [be made fro](#page-9-0)m the α , β -unsaturated aldehydes produced in these reactions (e.g., 15, 16, 21) by choosing appropriate protecting groups. In order to illustrate the synthetic utility of this interesting transformation, we have undertaken the syntheses of cyclophellitol (1), valienamine (2), gabosine I (3), gabosine G (4), streptol (5) , 1-epi-streptol (6) , gabosine K (7) and uvamalol A (8). All these eight C7-cyclitols contain three common contiguous stereogenic centers at C-2, C-3 and C-4

positions, which is present in the enal 21 too. Hence, minimal synthetic manipulations of stereogenic centers are sufficient to synthesize these target compounds from such α , β -unsaturated aldehydes. The retrosynthetic analyses (see the Supporting Information) reveal that all of these natural products can be synthesized from the enal 25, which can be prepar[ed from the](#page-9-0) m yo[-inositol](#page-9-0) derived ketone 23. 19

The ketone 23 was prepared, in 42% yield, from commercially available myo -ino[sito](#page-10-0)l as reported.¹⁹ The ketone 23 on Wittig reaction with methoxymethyltriphenylphosphonium chloride gave the enol ether 24 in 95% [yie](#page-10-0)ld. The enol ether 24 on acidic hydrolysis with a few drops of aqueous HCl (0.1 M) in THF gave the advanced intermediate, enal 25, in quantitative yield. The structure of the compound 25 was established by both NMR experiments and X-ray crystallographic analysis (see the Supporting Information, Figure S1). This advanced intermediate can be made in multigram quantities from cheaply available myo[-inositol in o](#page-9-0)verall yields up to 40%.

Luche reduction²⁰ of the α , β -unsaturated aldehyde 25 resulted in the formation of the triol 26 in 92% yield (Scheme 2). Benzylation of t[rio](#page-10-0)l 26 with excess of benzyl bromide gave the tribenzyl ether 27 in 91% yield. Hydroboration²¹ of the [al](#page-2-0)kene 27 with $BH_3 \cdot Me_2S$ followed by oxidation with H_2O_2 / NaOH gave the alcohol 28, as the exclusive dia[ste](#page-10-0)reomer (81%). While the anti-Markovnikov's addition of the borane dictated the regiochemistry, the steric hindrance by the bulky PMB group dictated the stereochemistry. The relative stereochemistry of 28 was assigned after acetylation using NMR spectroscopy. As anticipated, the hydroboration of 28 has taken place from the face opposite to the bulky PMB group at C-1. The compound 28 was reacted with mesyl chloride to give the mesylate 29 in quantitative yield. TFA mediated removal of PMB ether protecting groups gave the diol 30 (88%), wherein hydroxyl group at C-1 and mesyloxy group are disposed in anti

a
Reagents and conditions: (a) PPh₃CH₂OMeCl, ¹BuOK, THF, 0 °C to reflux, 2 h, 95%. (b) 0.1 N aq. HCl, THF, rt, 8 h, quantitative. (c) NaBH₄, CeCl₃·7H₂O, MeOH, 0 °C, 1 h, 92%. (d) NaH, BnBr, DMF, 0 °C, 20 min, 91%. (e) BH₃·SMe₂, H₂O₂, NaOH, 10 h, (81%). (f) Pyridine, MsCl, 0 $^{\circ}$ C, 1 h, quantitative. (g) TFA, DCM, rt, 1 h, 88%. (h) NaH, DMF, 0 $^{\circ}$ C, 20 min, 91%. (i) Pd/C, H₂ (1 atm), EtOAc, 0 $^{\circ}$ C, overnight, quantitative. (j) TFA (10% in DCM), rt, 1 h, 91%. (k) DPPA, DBU, 0 °C, NaN₃, Toluene, 83%. (l) BCl₃ (1 M solution in toluene), −60 °C to rt, 4 h, 80%. (m) Ac₂O, Pyridine, DMAP, rt, overnight, 90%.

orientation. Diol 30 on treatment with NaH produced the epoxide 31 in 91% yield. Finally, the global deprotection, by hydrogenolysis, provided (\pm) -cyclophellitol (1) in quantitative yield. Thus, we could synthesize cyclophellitol in an overall yield of 54% in seven steps from the common intermediate 25.

Having successfully exploited the vinylogous opening of the orthoester in synthesizing cyclophellitol, we set out to generalize this methodology for the synthesis of other C-7 cyclitol natural products. Thus, we carried out the synthesis of (\pm) -valienamine as follows. Alkene 27, obtained from 25, on acidic hydrolysis gave the diol 32 in 91% yield (Scheme 2). Diol 32 on Mitsunobu reaction using diphenylphosphorylazide (DPPA) and 1,8-diaza-bicyclo-undec-7-ene (DBU) gave the azide 33 regioselectively in 83% yield.²² The structural assignment was done with the help of various 2D NMR techniques. The small value of $\frac{3J_{\text{H1H2}}}{}$ cou[plin](#page-10-0)g constant (4.15) Hz) is supportive of syn relationship of H1 (H on azide connected carbon) and H2 in a cyclohexane skeleton. This high selectivity in the nucleophilic substitution reaction arises from the increased reactivity of allylic hydroxyl group and its less steric hindrance compared to the other hydroxyl group. As BCl₃ is known to deprotect the benzyl ether 23 and reduce the azide to amine,²⁴ azide 33 was treated with $BCl₃$, for one-pot benzyl deprotection and azide reduction. Th[is](#page-10-0) provided (\pm) -valienamine (2[\)](#page-10-0) in 80% yield, which was characterized as its

pentaacetyl derivative 34, whose ¹H NMR spectrum was identical to the reported 13i spectrum. We, therefore, could achieve the total synthesis of (\pm) -valienamine in an overall yield of 50.6% in five ste[ps f](#page-9-0)rom the enal 25.

Next, we turned our attention to the synthesis of (\pm) -gabosine G and (\pm) -gabosine I. Diol 32 on selective oxidation of the allylic alcohol functionality using Dess−Martin periodinane²⁵ gave the enone 35 (Scheme 3). Absence of any ${}^{3}J_{\text{HH}}$ coupling for the olefinic proton indicates the chemoselective o[xid](#page-10-0)ation of allylic alcohol. The [r](#page-3-0)emoval of benzyl ether protecting groups using BCl₃ gave (\pm) -gabosine I (3) in 75% yield. It is noteworthy that 3 could be synthesized in just five steps from enal 25 in an overall yield of 53.7%, making it an attractive synthesis over many previous syntheses. (\pm) -Gabosine G (4) was obtained by selective acetylation of the primary hydroxyl group in (\pm) -valienone 3 using acetyl chloride and 2,4,6-collidine²⁶ in 70% yield $(37.4%$ from the enal 25 in six steps; Scheme 3). We have chosen (\pm) -streptol as our next synthetic targ[et](#page-10-0) because of its interesting biological property as plant growth i[nh](#page-3-0)ibitor. As streptol (5) and the diol 32 have a single stereochemical difference (at C1), it is reasonable to invert the stereochemistry at C1 by an oxidation reduction sequence to streptol. Thus, the enone 35 obtained from 32 was subjected to reduction. Surprisingly, reduction of enone 35 using N a $BH₄$ gave back the diol 32 as the exclusive product.

Scheme 3. Total Syntheses of (\pm) -Gabosine I, (\pm) -Gabosine G and (\pm) -Streptol^{*a*}

^aReagents and conditions: (a) DMP, CH_2Cl_2 , rt, 1 h, 94%. (b) BCl_3 (1.0 M in toluene), −40 °C, 2 h, 75%. (c) 2,4,6-collidine, AcCl, −60 $\rm ^{\circ}C$ to rt, 1 h, 70%. (d) K-Selectride, -78 $\rm ^{\circ}C$, THF, 1 h, 90%. (e) BCl₃ (1.0 M in toluene), DCM, −78 °C, 4 h, 70%.

However, to our satisfaction, reduction with K-selectride gave the reqired diol 36 stereospecifically. The bulky reducing agent ensured the hydride delivery from the side opposite to the benzyloxy group at C2. Though the less bulky $NabH_4$ has the opportunity to attack from either sides, the stability of the product (product 32 with all equatorial substituents is more stable than the product 36 with an axial subtituent) might be controlling the stereochemistry. Finally, benzyl ether protecting groups were removed using $BCl₃$ (Scheme 3) to get (\pm) -streptol (5). Thus the synthesis of (\pm) -streptol could be completed in six steps from the common intermediate 25 in an overall 45% yield.

1-epi-Streptol and its monoacetate gabosine K are two other C7-cyclitols of our choice for the illustration of the utility of our methodology. The stereochemical similarity of these molecules with the intermediate 25 allow their synthesis in very few steps. The triol 26, which could be prepared from the enal 25 in 92% yield, on treatment with 10% triflic acid in DCM gave (\pm) -1epi-streptol (6) in 89% yield (Scheme 4). The primary hydroxyl group in pentol 6 was selectively acetylated using acetyl chloride and 2,4,6-collidine to afford (\pm) -gabosine K (7) in 62% yield (Scheme 4). Thus 1-epi-streptol and gabosine K could be synthesized from the common intermediate 25 in overall yields of 82 and 50.8%, respectively, in two and three steps.

We next turned our attention to the synthesis of uvamalol A, which was isolated from the roots of Uvaria macrophylla²⁷ and for which no synthesis or biological activity has been reported to date. Triol 26 on selective benzoylation of primary [alco](#page-10-0)hol with benzoyl chloride in presence of 2,4,6-collidine gave the benzoate 37 (Scheme 4) in 95% yield. Reaction of the benzoate 37 with TESOTf in presence of imidazole gave an inseparable mixture of regioisomers 38 and 39 in 1.2:1 ratio (by ${}^{1}H$ NMR). These isomers were purified by column chromatography after bezoylation with benzoyl chloride in pyridine. The desired compound 40 was obtained in 36% yield (two steps). Deprotection of both PMB groups and TES group using 10% TFA in DCM led to the formation of (\pm) -uvamalol A (8) in 86% yield. Thus we could achieve the synthesis of Uvamalol A in five steps from the common intermediate 25 in 27% overall

Scheme 4. Total Syntheses of (\pm) -1-epi-Streptol, (\pm) -Gabosine K, and (\pm) -Uvamalol A^a

a Reagents and conditions: (a) TFA (10% in DCM), rt, 1 h, 89%. (b) 2,4,6-collidine, AcCl, −60 °C, 1 h, 62%. (c) 2,4,6-collidine, BzCl, −0 °C to rt, 1 h, 95%. (d) TESOTf, DCM, imidazole, 0 °C, 2 h, 38:39 = 1.2:1, 68% overall. (e) pyridine, DMAP, BzCl, rt, 1 h, 36% (two steps). (f) TFA, DCM, rt, 1 h, 86%.

yield. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first synthesis of uvamalol A. The agreement between ^{1}H NMR and ^{13}C NMR spectra of 8 with the reported data substantiates the previously assigned structure of uvamalol.

Because of the dense functionality, myo-inositol has been exploited as the starting material for the syntheses of several natural products such as polyoxin $J₁²⁸$ tetrodotoxin,²⁹ nojirimycin,³⁰ brahol, pinpollitol,³¹ etc. The novel vinylogous orthoester hydrolysis reported here aff[or](#page-10-0)ds synthetica[lly](#page-10-0) versatile en[al,](#page-10-0) which has tremen[dou](#page-10-0)s potential to be exploited for the synthesis of many complex natural products. The wellknown protection−deprotection strategy in the chemistry of myo -inositol³² can be judiciously exploited further to make orthogonally protected intermediates for complex natural product sy[nt](#page-10-0)hesis. The eight natural products we have synthesized are only a small sample of the variety of natural products that can be made using our methodology.

■ CONCLUSION

We have encountered an interesting ring-opening of the orthoester cage in myo-inositol orthoesters. The synthetic utility of this transformation has been illustrated by the concise syntheses of eight structurally diverse natural products. We have prepared a common α , β -unsaturated aldehyde intermediate in multigram quantities in five steps from *myo-*inositol for the synthesis of these compounds. This advanced intermediate served as the synthon for the rapid and efficient syntheses of (\pm) -cyclophellitol in seven steps, (\pm) -valienamine in five steps, (\pm) -1-epi-streptol in two steps, (\pm) -gabosine K in three steps, (\pm) -gabosine I in five steps, (\pm) -gabosine G in six steps, (\pm) -streptol in six steps and (\pm) -uvamalol A in five steps in very good yields in their racemic form. Many known elegant methods for chiral desymmetrization of myo -inositol³³ and its

The Journal of Organic Chemistry Article 30 and 200 an

orthoesters^{32b,34} and enzymatic resolution³⁵ might allow the synthesis of these C7-cyclitols and analogues in enantiomerically pure [form.](#page-10-0) We hope this report will [at](#page-10-0)tract chemists to exploit this strategy in future synthetic design and this methodology might take a prominent place in chemical lexicon.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

General Methods. Chromatograms were visualized under UV light and by dipping plates into ceric ammonium molybdate stain followed by heating. The stain was prepared by slowly adding 10 mL of con. H_2SO_4 into the solution of ceric sulfate (1 g) and ammonium molybdate (5 g) in 90 mL of distilled water. The ^1H NMR, ^{13}C NMR, COSY and HMQC spectra were recorded on a 500 MHz NMR spectrometer. Proton chemical shifts are reported in ppm (δ) relative to internal tetramethylsilane (TMS, δ 0.0 ppm) or with the solvent reference relative to TMS employed as the internal standard (CDCl₃, δ 7.26 ppm; D_2O , δ 4.79 ppm). Data are reported as follows: chemical shift (multiplicity [singlet (s), doublet (d), triplet (t), quartet (q), and multiplet (m)], coupling constants [Hz], integration and peak identification). All NMR signals were assigned on the basis of ¹H NMR, ¹³C NMR, DEPT, COSY and HMQC experiments. ¹³C spectra were recorded with complete proton decoupling. Carbon chemical shifts are reported in ppm (δ) relative to TMS with the respective solvent resonance as the internal standard. All NMR data were collected at 25 °C. Mass spectrometry were recorded by Q-TOF using electrospray ionization (ESI) mode. Melting points were determined using melting point apparatus and are uncorrected. Flash column chromatography was performed using silica gel (200−400 mesh). All reactions were carried out under argon or nitrogen atmosphere employing oven-dried glassware.

 (\pm) -(1R,2S,5S,6S)-2,6-Bis(benzyloxy)-4-(hydroxymethyl)cyclohex-3-ene-1,5-diol (17) and (\pm) -(1S,2S,5R,6S)-2,6-Bis-(benzyloxy)-4-(hydroxymethyl)cyclohex-3-ene-1,5-diol (18). To a suspension of methoxymethyltriphenylphosphonium chloride (6.3 g, 18.38 mmol) in dry THF (50 mL), a solution of potassium tertbutoxide (2.07 g, 18.45 mmol) in dry THF (20 mL) was added slowly at 0 °C under N_2 atmosphere. To the resultant orange suspension, a solution of ketone 13 (1.70 g, 4.6 mmol) in dry THF (15 mL) was added dropwise at 0 °C. As the reaction was very sluggish at this temperature, the mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature and then refluxed for 2 h. THF was then evaporated off under reduced pressure, and the orange residue thus obtained was dissolved in ethyl acetate and washed successively with water and brine. The organic layer was separated, dried over anhydrous $Na₂SO₄$ and concentrated under reduced pressure. Purification by flash column chromatography (ethyl acetate/petroleum ether, 1:4; v/v) gave an inseparable E/Z mixture of enol ether 14 (1.68 g, 92%) as a colorless gum. To the solution of 14 (520 mg, 1.3 mmol) in THF (10 mL), 0.1 N aqueous HCl (2 mL) was added, and the mixture was stirred for 3 h at room temperature. THF was evaporated off under reduced pressure. The residue was dissolved in ethyl acetate (EtOAc) and washed successively with aqueous $NAHCO₃$ solution, water and then with brine. The organic layer was separated, dried over anhydrous $Na₂SO₄$ and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography (ethyl acetate/petroleum ether, 1:2; v/v) to give an inseparable mixture of aldehydes 15 and 16 (440 mg) as a colorless gum. This gummy residue was dissolved in methanol (20 mL), and $CeCl₃·7H₂O$ (488 mg, 1.3 mmol) was added slowly. Then NaBH₄ (50 mg, 1.3 mmol) was added slowly to it at 0 $^{\circ}$ C and stirred for 1 h at the same temperature. Excess $NabH_4$ was quenched by the addition of acetone. Methanol was evaporated off in vacuo, and the resultant residue was dissolved in ethyl acetate, washed with water and brine. The organic layer was separated, dried over anhydrous $Na₂SO₄$ and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude product thus obtained was purified by column chromatography (ethyl acetate/petroleum ether, 3:2; v/v) to get a mixture of diastereomers 17 and 18 (420 mg, 90%) in 1:1 ratio as a colorless liquid. 100 mg of this diastereomeric mixture was further purified by Recycling HPLC using chiral OA-JAIGEL-4100 column and HPLC

grade CHCl₃ as the mobile phase to get pure 17 (46 mg) and 18 (44) mg).

17: ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 2.80 (br s, 1H, OH), 3.85 (dd, J $= 6.2$ Hz, 4.3 Hz, 1H, H-6), 3.98 (dd, J = 10.4 Hz, 6.2 Hz, 1H, H-1), 4.05 (d, J = 3.9 Hz, 1H, H-5), 4.12−4.20 (m, J = 3.9 Hz, 3H, H-2, H-7A and 7A'), 4.55–4.67 (m, 4H, -OCH_AH_BPh), 5.68 (s, 1H, H-3), 7.23−7.30 (m, 10H, Ar−H); 13C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl3) δ 64.7 (C-7), 68.6 (C-1), 69.1 (C-5), 71.6 (-OCH₂Ph), 73.3 (-OCH₂Ph), 73.5 (C-2), 79.3 (C-6), 121.3 (C-3), 127.8, 127.9, 127.9, 128.0, 128.5, 128.6, 137.7, 138.1, 140.3. Elemental analysis calcd for $C_{21}H_{24}O_5$: C, 70.77; H, 6.79. Found: C, 70.91; H, 6.64.

18: ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 2.12 (br s, 3H, OH), 3.88 (dd, J $= 4.0$ Hz, 2.0 Hz, 1H, H-6), 3.97 (dd, J = 4.8 Hz, 1.6 Hz, 1H, H-1), 4.16−4.12 (m, 3H, H-2, H-7A and 7A′), 4.28 (d, J = 3.8 Hz, 1H, H-5), 4.52 (d, $J = 11.6$ Hz, 1H, $-OCH_APh$), 4.59 (d, $J = 11.6$ Hz, 1H, $-OCH_BPh$), 4.64 (d, J = 11.5 Hz, 1H, $-OCH_APh$), 4.78 (d, J = 11.5 Hz, 1H, $-OCH_BPh$), 5.78 (d, J = 2.2 Hz, 1H, H-3), 7.23–7.25 (m, 10H, Ar−H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 64.6 (C-7), 67.3 (C-5), 71.6 $(C-1)$, 71.7 (-OCH₂Ph), 72.8 (-OCH₂Ph), 76.2 (C-6), 76.9 (C-2), 122.5 (C-3), 127.8, 127.9, 128.0, 128.1, 128.5, 128.6, 137.8, 138.0, 140.1. Elemental analysis calcd for $C_{21}H_{24}O_5$: C, 70.77; H, 6.79. Found: C, 71.08; H, 6.88.

(±)-(1S,3R,4S,5S,6R,2E/Z)-2-Methoxymethyl-4,6-di-O-(benzyl)-myo-inositol 1,3,5-orthoformate (20). To a suspension of methoxymethyltriphenylphosphonium chloride (1.11 g, 3.2 mmol) in dry THF (5 mL), a solution of potassium tert-butoxide (365 mg, 3.2 mmol) in dry THF (5 mL) was added slowly at 0 $^{\circ}$ C under N₂ atmosphere. To this mixture, a solution of ketone 19 (240 mg, 0.65 mmol) in dry THF (10 mL) was added dropwise at 0 °C. The mixture was warmed to room temperature and then refluxed for 2 h. THF was evaporated off in vacuo. The orange residue thus obtained was dissolved in ethyl acetate and washed with water and brine. The organic layer was separated, dried over anhydrous $Na₂SO₄$ and concentrated under reduced pressure. Purification by flash column chromatography (ethyl acetate/petroleum ether, 1:6; v/v) gave the enol ether 20 (232 mg, 90%) as a gummy liquid: ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 3.58 (s, 3H, -OCH₃), 4.13 (t, J = 2.7 Hz, 1H, H-6), 4.19– 4.20 (m, 2H, H-1, H-4), 4.34 (s, 1H, H-5), 4.49 (d, J = 3.3 Hz, 1H, $-OCH_APh$), 4.52 (d, J = 3.1 Hz, 1H, $-OCH_BPh$), 4.60 (d, J = 12.1 Hz, 1H, -OCH_APh), 4.64 (d, J = 11.9 Hz, 1H, -OCH_BPh), 5.02 (d, J = 1.5 Hz, 1H, H-3), 5.52 (s, 1H, H-7), 6.17 (s, 1H, H-8), 7.21−7.19 (m, 10H, Ar−H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 59.2, 65.6 (C-3), 68.6 (C-5), 70.1, 70.2, 70.7, 72.0 (C-1 and C-4), 72.3 (C-6), 76.2, 103.6, 105.3, 126.6, 126.7, 126.76, 126.8, 126.9, 127.0, 127.2, 127.3, 127.36, 127.4, 127.6, 136.9, 137.0, 144.7. Elemental analysis calcd for $C_{23}H_{24}O_6$: C, 69.68; H, 6.10. Found: C, 69.96; H, 6.38.

 (\pm) -(3S,4R,5R,6S)-4,6-Bis(benzyloxy)-2-(hydroxymethyl)cyclohex-1-ene-3,5-diol (22). To a solution of 20 (155 mg, 0.39 mmol) in THF (10 mL), 0.1 N aqueous HCl (2 mL) was added, and the mixture was stirred for 2 h at room temperature. THF was evaporated off under reduced pressure. The residue was dissolved in ethyl acetate and washed successively with saturated $NAHCO₃$ solution, water and brine. The organic layer was separated, dried over anhydrous $Na₂SO₄$ and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude mass was purified by flash column chromatography (ethyl acetate/petroleum ether, 3:7; v/v) to give the aldehyde 21 (142 mg) along with its formate ester as a colorless oil. This residue was dissolved in methanol (10 mL), and to this solution, $CeCl₃·7H₂O$ (156 mg, 0.41 mmol) was slowly added. Then NaBH₄ (19 mg, 0.50) mmol) was added slowly to it at 0 °C and stirred for 1 h at the same temperature. Excess NaBH₄ was quenched with acetone. Methanol was evaporated off in vacuo, and the residue was dissolved in ethyl acetate, washed with water and brine. The organic layer was separated, dried over anhydrous $Na₂SO₄$ and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by column chromatography (ethyl acetate/petroleum ether, 3:2; v/v) to give compound 22 (127) mg, 91%) as a white solid: mp 79−81 °C; ¹ H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 2.5 (br s, 3H, OH), 3.41 (dd, J = 10.1 Hz, 7.9 Hz, 1H, H-4), 3.73 (dd, J = 10.2 Hz, 7.9 Hz, 1H, H-5), 4.02 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H, H-6), 4.06 (s, 2H, H-7A and 7A'), 4.32 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H, H-3), 4.64 (d, J =

11.6 Hz, 1H, -OCH_APh), 4.67 (d, J = 11.8 Hz, 1H, -OCH_BPh), 4.78 $(d, J = 11.6 \text{ Hz}, 1H, -OCH_aPh), 4.82 (d, J = 11.5 Hz, 1H, -OCH_aPh),$ 5.56 (s, 1H, H-1), 7.3−7.22 (m, 10H, Ar−H); 13C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl3) δ 64.1 (C-7), 72.3, 73.2 (C-3), 74.9 (C-5), 75.0, 76.7, 77.0, 77.2, 79.3 (C-6), 84.1 (C-4), 124.1 (C-1), 127.8, 127.9, 128.0, 128.1, 128.5, 128.7, 138.2, 138.4, 138.5. Elemental analysis calcd for $C_{21}H_{24}O_5$: C, 70.77; H, 6.79. Found: C, 70.90; H, 6.71.

 $(+)$ -(1R,3S,4R,5S,6S,2E/Z)-2-Methoxymethyl-4,6-di-O-(4-methoxybenzyl)-myo-inositol 1,3,5-orthoformate (24). To a suspension of methoxymethyltriphenylphosphonium chloride (14.86 g, 43.35 mmol) in dry THF (50 mL) was added a solution of potassium tert-butoxide (4.86 g, 43.35 mmol) in dry THF (20 mL) slowly at 0 \degree C under N₂ atmosphere. To the resultant orange suspension, a solution of ketone 23 (6.12 g, 14.2 mmol) in dry THF (20 mL) was added slowly at 0 °C. The mixture was warmed to room temperature and then refluxed for 2 h. The THF was evaporated in vacuo. The orange residue was dissolved in ethyl acetate and washed with water and brine. The organic layer was separated, dried over anhydrous $Na₂SO₄$ and concentrated under reduced pressure. Purification by flash column chromatography (ethyl acetate/petroleum ether, 1:4; v/v) gave the enol ether 24 (6.19 g, 95%) as a white crystalline solid: mp 80−82 °C; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 3.61 (s, 3H, -OCH3), 3.74 (s, 3H, -OCH3), 3.75 (s, 3H, -OCH3), 4.07 $(d, J = 3.2 \text{ Hz}, 1H, H-4), 4.14 (dd, J = 3.2 \text{ Hz}, 2.3 \text{ Hz}, 1H, H-6), 4.37$ $(dd, J = 3.2$ Hz, 1.7 Hz, 1H, H-3), 4.48 $(d, J = 12.5$ Hz, 2H, OCH_AH_B p -MeOPh), 4.51 (d, J = 14.3 Hz, 2H, OCH_AH_B-p-MeOPh), 4.54 (dd, J $= 3.3$ Hz, 1.7 Hz, 1H, H-5), 4.89 (dd, $I = 3.1$ Hz, 1.6 Hz, 1H, H-1), 5.66 (s, 1H, H-7), 6.38 (s, 1H, H-8), 6.82 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 2H, Ar−H), 6.86 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 2H, Ar−H), 7.19 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 2H, Ar−H), 7.22 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 2H, Ar–H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 54.9, $54.9, 59.7, 66.0$ (C-1), 68.5 (C-5), $69.4, 69.6, 70.5$ (C-3), 72.8 (C-4) and C-6), 103.8 (C-2), 106.4, 113.4, 113.5, 129.2, 129.3, 130.1, 130.2, 145.4, 158.6, 158.6. Elemental analysis calcd for C_2 ₅H₂₈O₈: C, 65.78; H, 6.18. Found: C, 65.79; H, 6.12.

(±)-(1R,2S,3S,4R)-2,4-Dihydroxy-1,3-bis((4-methoxybenzyl) oxy)cyclohex-5-ene-carb-5-aldehyde (25). To a solution of 24 (2.4 g, 5.26 mmol) in THF (20 mL) was added 0.1 N aqueous HCl (5 mL) at room temperature, and the mixture was stirred for 8 h at the same temperature. THF was evaporated off in vacuo. The residue was dissolved in ethyl acetate and washed with aqueous $NaHCO₃$ solution, water and then with brine. The organic layer was separated, dried over anhydrous $Na₂SO₄$ and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude material thus obtained was purified by flash column chromatography (ethyl acetate/petroleum ether, 1:3; v/v) to give the compound 25 (2.17 g, 100%) as a white solid: mp 126−128 °C; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 3.58 (dd, J = 10.3 Hz, 7.4 Hz, 1H, H-3), 3.77 (dd, $J = 10.3$ Hz, 8.3 Hz, 1H, H-2), 3.84 (s, 6H, -OCH₃), 4.30 (td, J = 8.2 Hz, 2.2 Hz, 1H, H-1), 4.72–4.88 (m, 3H, H-4, -OC $H_A H_B$ p -MeOPh), 4.87 (d, J = 11.3 Hz, 1H, -OCH_A-p-MeOPh), 5.04 (d, J = 11 Hz, 1H, -OCH_B-p-MeOPh), 6.57 (s, 1H, H-6), 6.92 (d, J = 2.1 Hz, 2H, Ar−H), 6.93 (d, J = 2.1 Hz, 2H, Ar−H), 7.34 (d, J = 3.1 Hz, 2H, Ar−H), 7.36 (d, J = 3.4 Hz, 2H, Ar−H), 9.47 (s, 1H, H-7); 13C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 55.3 (-OCH₃), 71.2 (C-4), 73.1, 73.5 (C-2), 74.6, 77.5 (C-1), 81.9 (C-3), 113.9, 114.0, 129.7, 129.8, 129.9, 130.2, 139.5, 148.2 (C-6), 157.4, 159.5, 194.4 (C-7); IR (neat) 3404, 1743, 1685, 1612 cm⁻¹. Elemental analysis calcd for C₂₃H₂₆O₇: C, 66.65; H, 6.32. Found: C, 66.71; H, 6.52.

 (\pm) -(1R,2S,3S,4R)-4-(Hydroxymethyl)-1,3-bis((4methoxybenzyl)oxy)cyclohex-5-ene-2,4-diol (26). To a solution of 25 (1 g, 2.41 mmol) in MeOH (15 mL) was slowly added $CeCl_3·7H_2O$ (1.7 g, 4.56 mmol) and then NaBH₄ (132 mg, 3.48 mmol) at 0 °C, and the mixture was stirred for 1 h at the same temperature. Excess NaBH₄ was quenched by the addition of acetone. Methanol was evaporated off in vacuo, and the residue was dissolved in ethyl acetate, washed with water and brine. The organic layer was separated, dried over anhydrous $Na₂SO₄$ and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude product thus obtained was purified by flash column chromatography (ethyl acetate/petroleum ether, 1:1; v/ v) to give compound 26 (0.92 g, 92%) as a white solid: mp 83–85 °C; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 2.32 (br s, 1H, OH-7), 2.65 (s, 1H,

OH-2), 2.70 (br s, 1H, OH-4), 3.39 (dd, J = 10 Hz, 8.2 Hz, 1H, H-3), 3.67−3.72 (m, 7H, H-2, -OCH3), 3.99 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H, H-1), 4.08 (s, 2H, H-7A and 7A′), 4.29 (d, J = 6.9 Hz, 1H, H-4), 4.57 (s, 2H, $-OCH_AH_B-p-MeOPh$), 4.68 (d, J = 11.5 Hz, 1H, $-OCH_A-p-MeOPh$), 4.76 (d, J = 11 Hz, 1H, -OCH_R-p-MeOPh), 5.55 (s, 1H, H-6), 6.80 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 2H, Ar−H), 6.82 (d, J = 8.25 Hz, 2H, Ar−H), 7.19 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 2H, Ar−H), 7.23 (d, J = 8.9 Hz, 2H, Ar−H); 13C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 55.3, 64.2 (C-7), 71.9, 73.3 (C-4), 74.7, 74.9 (C-2), 78.9 (C-1), 83.7 (C-3), 113.9, 114.1, 124.2 (C-6), 129.5, 129.7, 130.2, 130.5, 138.3 (C-5), 159.3, 159.5. Elemental analysis calcd for $C_{23}H_{28}O_7$: C, 66.33; H, 6.78. Found: C, 66.29; H, 6.80.

 $(+)$ -(1R,2S,3S,4R)-4-(Benzyloxymethyl)-2,4-bis(benzyloxy)-1,3-bis((4-methoxybenzyl)oxy)cyclohex-5-ene (27). To a solution of 26 (500 mg, 1.2 mmol) in DMF (10 mL), NaH (60% dispersion in mineral oil, 192 mg, 4.8 mmol) was added slowly at 0 °C over a period of 5 min. To this solution, BnBr (0.58 mL, 4.8 mmol) was added dropwise at 0 °C, and the reaction mixture was further stirred for 20 min at the same temperature, by which time TLC showed completion of the reaction. Excess NaH was quenched by adding ice cold water, and the solvents were evaporated off in vacuo. The residue was taken in dichloromethane (150 mL), washed with water and brine. Organic layer was separated, dried over anhydrous Na2SO4 and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography (ethyl acetate/ petroleum ether, 1:5; v/v) to get 27 (754 mg, 91%) as a white solid: mp 54–56 °C; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 3.66 (dd, J = 10.4 Hz, 7.7 Hz, 1H, H-3), 3.71−3.74 (m, 7H, H-2, -OCH3), 3.84 (d, J = 12.1 Hz, 1H, H-7A), 4.11−4.13 (m, 2H, H-4, H-7A′), 4.20 (d, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H, H-1), 4.27 (d, $J = 11.8$ Hz, 1H, -OCH_APh), 4.44 (d, $J =$ 11.8 Hz, 1H, -OCH_BPh), 4.56 (s, 2H, -OCH_AH_BPh), 4.60–4.66 (m, 2H, -OCH_AH_RPh), 4.75 (d, J = 9.9 Hz, 1H, -OCH_APh), 4.81–4.83 (m, 3H, -OCH_AH_BPh), 5.68 (s, 1H, H-5), 6.74 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2H, Ar–H), 6.77 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2H, Ar−H), 7.13–7.29 (m, 19H, Ar−H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl3) δ 55.5 (-OCH3), 69.9 (C-7), 72.1, 72.2, 74.8, 75.2, 75.4, 79.5 (C-4), 80.1 (C-1), 83.8 (C-2), 84.2 (C-3), 113.8, 113.8, 125.2 (C-5), 127.5, 127.6, 127.7, 127.8, 127.9, 128.3, 129.4, 129.5, 129.6, 130.4, 130.8, 136.3, 138.1, 138.5, 138.8, 159.2. Elemental analysis calcd for $C_{44}H_{46}O_7$: C, 76.94; H, 6.75. Found: C, 77.24; H, 7.02.

(±)-(1R,2S,3S,4R,5S,6S)-2,4-Bis(benzyloxy)-5-((benzyloxy) methyl)-1,3-bis((4-methoxybenzyl)oxy)cyclohexan-6-ol (28). To a solution of $BH_3 \cdot SMe_2$ (1.0 M in THF, 1.23 mL, 1.23 mmol) in anhydrous THF (5 mL) at 0 $^{\circ}$ C, a solution of 27 (850 mg, 1.23 mmol) in THF (10 mL) dropwise was added. The reaction mixture was stirred for 8 h at room temperature and then cooled to 10 °C. To this solution, 30% H₂O₂ (0.4 mL) and 3 M NaOH (1.2 mL) were added slowly, and the mixture was further stirred for 8 h at ambient temperature. THF was evaporated off under reduced pressure, and the aqueous layer was extracted with ethyl acetate (200 mL). The organic layer was separated, dried over anhydrous $Na₂SO₄$ and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue thus obtained on flash column chromatography (ethyl acetate/petroleum ether, 1:3; v/v) yielded 28 (706.5 mg, 81%) as pure white solid: mp 82−84 °C; ¹ H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 1.52 (dd, J = 9.4 Hz, 9.2 Hz, 1H, H-5), 3.31–3.34 (m, 2H, H-1, H-4), 3.50−3.52 (m, 3H, H-2, H-3, H-6), 3.67 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H, H-7A), 3.72 (s, 3H, -OCH3), 3.73 (s, 3H, -OCH3), 3.78 (d, J $= 8.1$ Hz, 1H, H-7A′), 4.42–4.54 (m, 3H, -OCH_AH_RPh), 4.63–4.83 (m, 7H, -OCH_AH_BPh), 5.17 (d, J = 6.3 Hz, 1H, OH-6), 6.82 (d, J = 4.7 Hz, 2H, Ar−H), 6.84 (d, J = 4.5 Hz, 2H, Ar−H), 7.17 (d, J = 8.4 Hz, 2H, Ar−H), 7.20 (d, J = 10 Hz, 2H, Ar−H), 7.26−7.38 (m, 15H, Ar−H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 51.3 (C-5), 60.2 (-OCH3), 69.7 (C-7), 73.6, 77.5, 79.2, 79.4, 79.8, 82.4, 87.5, 90.2, 90.6, 118.5, 118.7, 132.5, 132.8, 133.4, 134.2, 134.4, 136.0, 136.4, 143.9, 144.1, 163.7, 163.8. Elemental analysis calcd for $C_{44}H_{48}O_8$: C, 74.98; H, 6.86. Found: C, 74.93; H, 6.90.

(±)-(1S,2R,3S,4R,5R,6S)-2,4-Bis(benzyloxy)-5-((benzyloxy) methyl)-1,3-bis((4-methoxybenzyl)oxy)-cyclohex-6-yl methanesulfonate (29). To a solution of 28 (620 mg, 0.88 mmol) in pyridine (10 mL), MsCl (0.136 mL, 1.76 mmol) was added at 0 °C, and the mixture was stirred for 1 h at the same temperature. Pyridine was evaporated off under reduced pressure, and the residue was dissolved in ethyl acetate, washed with diluted HCl, water and brine. The organic layer was separated, dried over anhydrous $Na₂SO₄$ and concentrated under reduced pressure. Crude product thus obtained was purified by flash column chromatography (ethyl acetate/ petroleum ether, 1:3; v/v) to get 29 (688 mg, 100%) as a white solid: mp 80−82 °C; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.84 (dd, J = 11 Hz, 10.9 Hz, 1H, H-5), 2.81 (s, 3H, -CH3), 3.55−3.61 (m, 3H, H-1, H-2, H-3), 3.65 (d, J = 9.3 Hz, 1H, H-7A), 3.71 (dd, J = 11 Hz, 9.5 Hz, 1H, H-4), 3.77 (s, 3H, -OCH3), 3.78 (s, 3H, -OCH3), 3.85 (dd, J = 9.3 Hz, 2.0 Hz, 1H, H-7A'), 4.35 (d, J = 13.1 Hz, 1H, -OCH_A-p-MeOPh), 4.53 (d, J = 10.6 Hz, 1H, CHPh), 4.58 (d, J = 11.3 Hz, 1H, $\text{-OCH}_{\text{B}}-p$ -MeOPh), 4.65 (d, J = 10.7 Hz, 1H, CHPh), 4.79−4.87 (m, 5H, H-6, CH₂Ph), 4.92 (d, J = 10.7 Hz, 1H, CHPh), 4.97 (d, J = 10.7 Hz, 1H, CHPh), 6.80 (d, J = 15.2 Hz, 2H, Ar–H), 6.83 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2H, Ar– H), 7.16−7.35 (m, 19H, Ar−H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 38.7, 45.3 (C-5), 55.2, 63.8, 73.0, 74.9, 75.4, 75.6, 75.8, 76.4, 76.7, 77.0, 77.2, 77.5, 79.5, 82.4, 82.6, 85.3, 113.8, 113.82, 127.6, 127.7, 127.8, 127.9, 128.1, 128.3, 128.4, 128.9, 129.3, 130.0, 130.5, 138.1, 138.2, 138.3, 159.1, 159.2. Elemental analysis calcd for $C_{45}H_{50}O_{10}S$: C, 69.03; H, 6.44; S, 4.10. Found: C, 69.32; H, 6.41; S, 3.84.

(±)-(1S,2R,3S,4R,5R,6S)-2,4-Bis(benzyloxy)-5-((benzyloxy) methyl)-1,3-dihydroxycyclohex-6-yl methanesulfonate (30). To a solution of 29 (660 mg, 0.84 mmol) in dichloromethane (10 mL), TFA (1 mL) was added at room temperature, and then the reaction mixture was stirred for 1 h at the same temperature. Dichloromethane was evaporated off in vacuo, and the residue was dissolved in ethyl acetate and washed successively with aqueous sodium bicarbonate solution, water and brine. The organic layer was separated, dried over anhydrous $Na₂SO₄$ and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude product thus obtained was purified by flash column chromatography (ethyl acetate/petroleum ether, 1:2; v/ v) to get 30 (400 mg, 88%) as a colorless liquid: $^1\rm H$ NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.73 (dd, J = 10.7 Hz, 10.6 Hz, 1H, H-5), 2.37 (s, 1H, OH), 2.7 (s, 1H, OH), 3.01 (s, 3H, -CH₃), 3.17 (dd, J = 9.2 Hz, 9.2 Hz, 1H, H-2), 3.4−3.61 (m, 4H, H-1, H-3, H-4, H-7A), 3.75 (d, J = 9.4 Hz, 1H, $H-7A'$), 4.33 (d, J = 11.3 Hz, 1H, $-OCH_APh$), 4.54 (d, J = 11.3 Hz, 2H, $-CCH_BPh$), 4.64–4.77 (m, 3H, $-CCH_AH_BPh$, H-6), 4.86 (d, J = 11.3 Hz, 1H, -OCHAPh), 7.16−7.32 (m, 15H, Ar−H); 13C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 37.8, 43.7 (C-5), 62.7 (C-7), 72.4, 73.5, 74.2, 74.3, 75.7, 75.8, 79.0 (C-6), 80.4 (C-2), 126.7, 126.9, 127.0, 127.1, 127.3, 127.4, 127.6, 127.7, 136.9, 137.1. Elemental analysis calcd for $C_{29}H_{34}O_8S$: C, 64.19 H, 6.32 S, 5.91. Found: C, 64.50; H, 6.12; S, 5.67.

(±)-(1R,2R,3S,4R,5R,6R)-2,4-Bis(benzyloxy)-5-((benzyloxy) methyl)-7-oxabicyclo[4.1.0]heptan-3-ol (31). To a solution of 30 (360 mg, 0.66 mmol) in DMF (10 mL), NaH (60% dispersion in mineral oil, 39.6 mg, 0.99 mmol) was added at 0 °C, and the mixture was stirred for 20 min at the same temperature. Excess NaH was quenched by adding ice cold water. Ethyl acetate (150 mL) was added to it, and the mixture was washed with water and brine. The organic layer was separated, dried over anhydrous $Na₂SO₄$ and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude product thus obtained was purified by flash column chromatography (ethyl acetate/petroleum ether, 1:5; v/v) to get 31 (270 mg, 91%) as a white solid: mp 64−66 °C; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl3) δ 2.19−2.22 (m, 1H), 3.11−3.16 (m, 2H), 3.39 (d, J = 2.9 Hz, 1H), 3.55–3.64 (m, 3H), 3.70 (dd, J = 8.9 Hz, 3.6 Hz, 1H), 4.42 (d, J = 11.1 Hz, 1H), 4.48 (s, 2H), 4.70 (d, J = 11.6 Hz, 1H), 4.70 (d, J = 11.6 Hz, 1H), 4.75 (d, J = 11.6 Hz, 1H), 7.17−7.34 (m, 15H, Ar−H). NMR data are similar to the reported values.³⁶ Elemental analysis calcd for $C_{28}H_{30}O_5$: C, 75.31; H, 6.77. Found: C, 75.55; H, 6.78.

Cyclophellitol (1). To a solution of 31 (25 mg, 0.05 mmol) [in](#page-10-0) ethyl acetate (5 mL), 10% Pd/C (15 mg) was added, and the resulting suspension was stirred under hydrogen atmosphere (1 atm, hydrogen balloon) for overnight at 0 °C. The reaction mixture was then filtered through a sterile syringe filter (0.20 μ m), the residue was washed with MeOH. The combined filtrate was concentrated in vacuo at low temperature, and the product, 1 was isolated as a white solid (9.8 mg, 100%) without further purification. ¹H NMR data are similar to the

reported values:13c mp 150−151 °C; ¹ H NMR (500 MHz, D2O) 2.01−2.05 (m, 1H), 3.14−3.18 (m, 2H), 3.28 (dd, J = 10.5 Hz, 9 Hz, 1H), 3.47 (d, J [= 2.](#page-9-0)0 Hz, 1H), 3.69−3.91 (m, 2H), 3.93 (dd, J = 11.5 Hz, 4 Hz, 1H).

(±)-(1R,2S,3S,4R)-2,4-Bis(benzyloxy)-5-((benzyloxy)methyl) cyclohex-5-ene-1,3-diol (32). To a 10% solution of TFA in DCM (10 mL), tribenzyl ether 27 (470 mg, 0.68 mmol) was added at room temperature, and the reaction mixture was stirred for 1 h at the same temperature. Excess TFA was quenched by adding aqueous $NAHCO₃$, and the solution was extracted with ethyl acetate (150 mL). The organic layer was separated, dried over anhydrous $Na₂SO₄$ and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude product thus obtained was purified by flash column chromatography (ethyl acetate/petroleum ether, 1:2; v/v) to get diol 32 (280 mg, 91%) as a colorless oil: ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 3.26 (dd, J = 10.5 Hz, 8 Hz, 1H, H-2), 3.66−3.72 (m, 1H, H-3), 3.88 (d, J = 12 Hz, 1H, H-7A), 4.04−4.10 (m, 3H, H-1, H-4, H-7A′), 4.42 (d, J = 12 Hz, 1H, $-OCH_APh$), 4.47 (d, J = 11.5 Hz, 1H, $-OCH_BPh$), 4.60 (d, J = 11 Hz, 1H, -OCH_APh), 4.79–4.85 (m, 3H, -OCH_AH_BPh), 5.2 (d, J = 5.5 Hz, 1H, OH-1), 5.29 (d, J = 5.5 Hz, 1H, OH-3), 5.59 (s, 1H, H-6), 7.25− 7.47 (m, 15H, Ar–H); ¹³C NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 69.7 (C-7), 70.9 (C-1), 71.8, 73.5, 74.0, 75.6 (C-3), 80.9 (C-4), 84.9 (C-2), 127.5, 127.7, 127.9, 127.9, 128.0, 128.1, 128.3, 128.5, 128.7, 129.5, 134.6 (C-6), 138.8, 139.5, 139.9. Elemental analysis calcd for $C_{28}H_{30}O_5$: C, 75.31; H, 6.77. Found: C, 75.14; H, 6.80.

(±)-(1S,2S,3S,4R)-1-Azido-2,4-bis(benzyloxy)-5-((benzyloxy) methyl)cyclohex-5-en-3-ol (33). To a solution of diol 32 (35 mg, 0.07 mmol) in toluene (3 mL), diphenylphosphorylazide (0.05 mL, 0.23 mmol) and 1,8-diazabicycloundec-7-ene (0.03 mL, 0.23 mmol) were added at $0^{\circ}C^{22}$. The reaction mixture was stirred for 4 h at the same temperature. Then, $NaN₃$ was added to the reaction mixture at 0 °C, and it was fur[the](#page-10-0)r stirred for 4 h at room temperature. The reaction was quenched by adding 2 N HCl (1 mL) and diluted with ethyl acetate (50 mL). The organic layer was washed with water and brine, separated, dried over anhydrous $Na₂SO₄$ and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude product thus obtained was purified by flash column chromatography (ethyl acetate/petroleum ether, 1:4; $\rm v/\rm v)$ to get 33 (30.6 mg, 83%) as a colorless liquid: $\rm ^1H$ NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 3.43 (dd, J = 10.3 Hz, 4.1 Hz, 1H, H-2), 3.93 (d, J = 12.9 Hz, 1H, H-7A), 3.99 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H, H-4), 4.08 (d, J = 13 Hz, 1H, H-7A'), 4.10 (dd, J = 10.3 Hz, 7.8 Hz, 1H, H-3), 4.12 (dd, J = 4.7 Hz, 4.2 Hz, 1H, H-1), 4.38–4.44 (m, 2H, -OCH_AH_BPh), 4.54 (d, J = 11.5 Hz, 1H, -OCH_APh), 4.62 (d, J = 11.4 Hz, 1H, -OCH_APh), 4.7 (d, $J = 11.5$ Hz, 1H, -OCH_BPh), 4.83 (d, $J = 11.3$ Hz, 1H, -OCH_BPh), 5.78 (dd, $J = 4.7$ Hz, 1.1 Hz, 1H, H-6), 7.18–7.31 (m, 15H, Ar-H); 13 C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 56.2 (C-1), 69.8 (C-7), 72.3 (C-3), 73.0, 73.6, 78.7 (C-2), 79.4 (C-4), 119.7 (C-6), 127.6, 127.7, 127.9, 128.1, 128.2, 128.3, 128.4, 128.45, 128.5, 128.6, 128.7, 137.2, 137.9, 138.5, 141.7; IR (neat) 3012, 2106, 1496, 1454 cm⁻¹. Elemental analysis calcd for $C_{28}H_{29}N_3O_4$: C, 71.32; H, 6.20 N, 8.91. Found: C, 71.07; H, 6.37; N, 8.79.

Valienamine (2). To a solution of azide 33 (20 mg, 0.05 mmol) in DCM (5 mL), a 1 M solution of $BCl₃$ in toluene (0.2 mL, 0.2 mmol) was added at −60 °C, and the reaction mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature slowly over a period of 4 h. Excess $BCI₃$ was quenched by adding aqueous $NH₃$ solution. The reaction mixture was evaporated to dryness completely under reduced pressure. The residue thus obtained was chromatographed to get valienamine (2) as a syrupy material (5.9 mg, 80%). Because of its hygroscopic nature and broadened signals in ¹H NMR, it was characterized as its pentaacetate 34. The above syrupy 2 was dissolved in pyridine (5 mL), and to this solution acetic anhydride (1 mL) and DMAP (2 mg) were added, and the reaction mixture was stirred for overnight at room temperature. Pyridine was evaporated off under reduced pressure, and the residue was dissolved in ethyl acetate and washed with water and brine. The organic layer was separated, dried over anhydrous $Na₂SO₄$ and concentrated. Flash column chromatography (acetone/petroleum ether, 1:6; v/v) gave pentaacetate 34 (11.6 mg, 90%) as a white solid, whose ${}^{1}\mathrm{H}$ NMR data was identical with the reported data: 13i mp 92−94 °C; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.95−2.00 (m, 15H), 4.33

 $(d, J = 13.3 \text{ Hz}, 1H), 4.57 (d, J = 13.3 \text{ Hz}, 1H), 4.96-5.03 (m, 2H),$ 5.29 (d, J = 6.2 Hz, 1H), 5.39 (dd, J = 9.9 Hz, 6.4 Hz, 1H), 5.57 (d, J = 8.9 Hz, 1H), 5.82 (d, $J = 4.9$ Hz, 1H).

 (\pm) -(2R,3S,4R)-2,4-Bis(benzyloxy)-5-((benzyloxy)methyl)-3hydroxycyclohex-5-en-1-one (35). To a solution of diol 32 (165 mg, 0.37 mmol) in DCM (5 mL), Dess−Martin periodinane (227.8 mg, 0.55 mmol) was added at room temperature, and the reaction mixture was stirred for 1 h at the same temperature. When the TLC showed, completion of the reaction $(1 h)$, the reaction mixture was diluted by adding chloroform (50 mL), and then the mixture was washed successively with aqueous $Na₂S₂O₃$, water and brine. The organic layer was separated, dried over anhydrous $Na₂SO₄$ and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude product thus obtained was purified by flash column chromatography (ethyl acetate/petroleum ether, 1:4; v/v) to get ketone 35 (155 mg, 94%) as a light brown liquid: ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 3.87 (d, J = 10.7 Hz, 1H, H-2), 4.08−4.14 (m, 2H, H-3, H-7A), 4.28−4.32 (m, 2H, H-4, H-7A'), 4.52 (d, $J = 12.1$ Hz, 1H, $-OCH_aPh$), 4.54 (d, $J = 13.7$ Hz, 1H, -OCH_BPh), 4.65 (d, J = 11.2 Hz, 1H, -OCH_APh), 4.74 (d, J = 11.3 Hz, 1H, -OCH_APh), 4.98 (d, J = 11.3 Hz, 1H, -OCH_BPh), 5.15 $(d, J = 11.2 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H}, -OCH_BPh)$, 6.22 $(d, J = 1.8 \text{ Hz}, 1\text{H}, \text{H-6})$, 7.25− 7.43 (m, 15H, Ar−H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 68.9 (C-7), 73.2, 73.9, 75.0, 76.9 (C-3), 78.9 (C-4), 82.6 (C-2), 123.7 (C-6), 127.7, 127.9, 128.0, 128.1, 128.2, 128.4, 128.5, 128.51, 128.6, 137.4, 137.5, 137.8, 158, 196 (C-1); IR (neat) 3587, 3010, 2866, 1718, 1496, 1454 cm⁻¹. Elemental analysis calcd for $C_{28}H_{28}O_5$: C, 75.65; H, 6.35. Found: C, 75.58; H, 6.25.

Gabosine I (3). To a solution of ketone 35 (60 mg, 0.13 mmol) in DCM (5 mL), a 1.0 M solution of $BCl₃$ in toluene (0.67 mL, 0.67 mmol) was added at −40 °C and stirred for 2 h at the same temperature. Excess $BCl₃$ was quenched by adding aqueous $NH₃$ solution. When the TLC showed completion of the reaction (2 h), the solvents were evaporated, and the crude residue was purified by flash column chromatography (CHCl₃/MeOH, 8:1; v/v) to get valienone (3) $(17.6 \text{ mg}, 75\%)$ as a pale brown oil, whose 1 H NMR data were identical to the reported data:^{13o 1}H NMR (500 MHz, CD₃OD) δ 3.51 (dd, J = 10.8 Hz, 8.3 Hz, 1H), 3.94 (d, J = 10.8 Hz, 1H), 4.22− 4.43 (m, 2H), 4.41 (d, $J = 18.3$ Hz, 1H), 6.07 (d, $J = 2$ Hz, 1H).

Gabosine G (4). To a solution of 3 (65 mg, 0.37 mmol) in 2,4,6collidine (2 mL), AcCl (0.02 mL, 0.4 mmol) was added at −60 °C, and the reaction mixture was stirred for 1 h at the same temperature. When the reaction was complete (judged by TLC), collidine was evaporated off under reduced pressure. The crude residue thus obtained was purified by flash column chromatography (ethyl acetate) to get gabosine G (4) (56.4 mg, 70%) as a colorless oil:^{13o 1}H NMR (500 MHz, CD₃OD) δ 2.04 (s, 3H), 3.53 (dd, J = 10.7 Hz, 8.4 Hz, 1H), 3.95 (d, J = 10.9 Hz, 1H), 4.31−4.33 (m, 1H), 4[.84](#page-10-0)−4.87 (m, 2H), 5.92 (d, $J = 1.9$ Hz, 1H).

(±)-(1S,2S,3S,4R)-2,4-Bis(benzyloxy)-5-((benzyloxy)methyl) cyclohex-5-ene-1,3-diol (36). To a solution of 35 (100 mg, 0.22 mmol) in THF (5 mL), K-selectride (0.3 mL, 1.0 M in THF, 0.3 mmol) was added at −78 °C, and the reaction mixture was stirred for 1 h at the same temperature. THF was evaporated off under reduced pressure, and the residue was dissolved in ethyl acetate (100 mL), washed twice with brine solution. The organic layer was separated, dried over anhydrous $Na₂SO₄$ and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude product thus obtained was purified by flash column chromatography (EtOAc/petroleum ether, 1:4; v/v) to get diol 36 (90 mg, 90%) as a colorless liquid: ¹ H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 3.32 (dd, J = 10.3 Hz, 3.9 Hz, 1H, H-2), 3.9 (d, J = 12.5 Hz, 1H, H-7A), 4.01 (d, J = 7.4 Hz, 1H, H-4), 4.09−4.15 (m, 2H, H-3, H-7A'), 4.23 (dd, J = 5.0 Hz, 4.5 Hz, 1H, H-1), 4.39 (d, J = 11.8 Hz, 1H, $-OCH_APh$), 4.43 (d, J = 11.8 Hz, 1H, $-OCH_BPh$), 4.59–4.68 (m, 3H, $-OCH_AH_BPh$, 4.81 (d, J = 11.4 Hz, 1H, $-OCH_APh$), 5.89 (dd, J = 4.5 Hz, 1.0 Hz, 1H, H-6), 7.18−7.3 (m, 15H, Ar−H); 13C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 62.4 (C-1), 69.1, 70.6 (C-3), 71.2, 71.8, 72.6, 78.2 (C-2), 78.6 (C-4), 122.7 (C-6), 126.5, 126.6, 126.7, 127.0, 127.2, 127.3, 127.4, 127.7, 136.5, 137, 137.6, 139.3. Elemental analysis calcd for $C_{28}H_{30}O_5$: C, 75.31; H, 6.77. Found: C, 75.09; H, 6.92.

Streptol (5). To a solution of diol 36 (90 mg, 0.20 mmol) in DCM (10 mL) , a 1.0 M solution of BCl₃ in toluene $(1 \text{ mL}, 1.0 \text{ mmol})$ was added at −78 °C, and the reaction mixture was stirred for 4 h at the same temperature. Excess $BCl₃$ was quenched by adding aqueous $NH₃$. The solvents were evaporated under reduced pressure to get a white solid. This residue was purified by flash column chromatography $(CHCl₃/MeOH, 4:1; v/v)$ to obtain pure streptol 5 (25 mg, 70%) as a colorless oil, whose ¹H NMR data were similar to the reported data:¹³ⁿ ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CD₃OD) δ 3.22–3.24 (m, 1H), 3.65 (dd, J = 10.0 Hz, 7.3 Hz, 1H), 3.90 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 4.09−4.13 (m, 3[H\),](#page-10-0) 5.73−5.74 (m, 1H).

1-epi-Streptol (6). To a 10% solution of TFA in DCM (10 mL), triol 26 (40 mg, 0.09 mmol) was added at room temperature, and the reaction mixture was stirred for 1 h at the same temperature. DCM was evaporated off to dryness to get a white residue. This residue was further purified by washing with DCM (10 mL \times 3) to get pure 1-*epi*streptol 6 (15 mg, 89%) as a white solid: mp 134−136 °C; ¹H NMR $(500 \text{ MHz}, \text{ DMSO-}d_6)$ δ 3.10 (dd, J = 9.3 Hz, 8.3 Hz, 1H, H-2), 3.19 (dd, J = 9.6 Hz, 7.8 Hz, 1H, H-3), 3.86−3.97 (m, 4H, H-1, H-4, H-7A, H-7A′), 4.57 (dd, J = 5.2 Hz, 4.8 Hz, 1H, OH), 4.58−4.83 (m, 4H, OH), 5.39 (s, 1H, H-6); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 60.6 (C-7), 71.2 (C-1), 72.2 (C-4), 75.9 (C-2), 76.1 (C-3), 123.7 (C-6), 139.2 (C-5). Elemental analysis calcd for $C_7H_{12}O_5$: C, 47.72; H, 6.87. Found: C, 47.82; H, 6.92.

Gabosine K (7). To a solution of 6 (65 mg, 0.36 mmol) in 2,4,6 collidine (2 mL), AcCl (0.02 mL, 0.4 mmol) was added at −60 °C, and the reaction mixture was stirred for 1 h at the same temperature. When the reaction was complete (judged by TLC), collidine was evaporated off under reduced pressure. The crude residue thus obtained was purified by flash column chromatography (ethyl acetate/ MeOH, 10:1; v/v) to get gabosine K (7) (50 mg, 62%) as a colorless oil: ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CD₃OD) δ 1.96 (s, 3H), 3.24–3.27 (m, 1H), 3.31−3.35 (m, 1H), 3.96−3.99 (m, 2H), 4.43 (d, J = 13 Hz, 1H), 4.63 $(d, J = 13$ Hz, 1H), 5.50 (s, 1H). ¹H NMR data are identical to the reported values.¹³ⁿ

 $(+)$ - $((1R, 2S, 3S, 4R)$ -2,4-Dihydroxy-1,3-bis $((4-methoxybenzy))$ oxy)cyclohex-[5-e](#page-10-0)n-5-yl)methyl benzoate (37). To a solution of 26 (432 mg, 1.03 mmol) in DCM (10 mL), 2,4,6-collidine (1 mL) and benzoyl chloride (0.18 mL, 1.54 mmol) were added at 0 °C, and the reaction mixture was stirred for 1 h at the same temperature. When the reaction was complete (by TLC), ethyl acetate (100 mL) was added to the reaction mixture, and the organic layer was washed successively with diluted HCl, water and brine. The organic layer was separated, dried over anhydrous $Na₂SO₄$ and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude product thus obtained was purified by flash column chromatography (ethyl acetate/petroleum ether, 1:1; v/v) to get pure 37 (513 mg, 95%) as a white solid: mp 88−90 °C; ¹ H NMR $(500 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3)$ δ 3.44 (dd, J = 10.3 Hz, 7.8 Hz, 1H, H-3), 3.72− 3.73 (m, 7H, H-2, -OCH₃), 4.02 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, 1H, H-1), 4.26 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H, H-4), 4.57-4.60 (m, 2H, -OCH_A-p-MeOPh, H-7A), 4.64 (d, $J = 11.3$ Hz, 1H, $-OCH_B-p-MeOPh$), 4.74 (d, $J = 11.2$ Hz, 1H, $-CCH_B-p-MeOPh$), 4.80 (d, J = 11.2 Hz, 1H, $-CCH_A-p-MeOPh$), 5.07 $(d, J = 12.8 \text{ Hz}, 1H, H-7A'), 5.72 \text{ (s, 1H, H-6)}, 6.80 \text{ (d, } J = 8.6 \text{ Hz}, 2H,$ Ar−H), 6.82 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2H, Ar−H), 7.22 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2H, Ar− H), 7.24 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2H, Ar−H), 7.37 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 2H, Ar−H), 7.49−7.52 (m, 1H, Ar−H), 7.96 (dd, ^J = 7.3 Hz, 0.7 Hz, 2H, Ar−H); 13C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl3) ^δ 55.3 (-OCH3), 64.4 (C-7), 71.8 (C-4), 72.1 (-OCH₂-p-MeOPh), 74.6 (C-2), 74.8 (-OCH₂-p-MeOPh), 78.6 (C-1), 83.4 (C-3), 113.9, 114.1, 127.1 (C-6), 128.4, 129.5, 129.6, 129.7, 129.8, 130.1, 130.2, 130.4, 133.3, 135.1, 159.3, 159.4, 166.9. Elemental analysis calcd for $C_{30}H_{32}O_8$: C, 69.22; H, 6.20. Found: C, 69.22; H, 6.21.

((1R,2S,3R,4R)-2-(Benzoyloxy)-1,3-bis((4-methoxybenzyl) oxy)-4-((triethylsilyl)oxy)cyclohex-5-en-5-yl)methyl benzoate (40). To a solution of benzoate 37 (150 mg, 0.28 mmol) in DCM, imidazole (114.4 mg, 1.68 mmol) and TESOTf (0.09 mL, 0.42 mmol) were added at 0 °C, and the reaction mixture was stirred for 1 h at the same temperature. When the TLC showed disappearance of the starting material, ethyl acetate (50 mL) was added to the reaction mixture, and the organic layer was washed successively with water and brine, separated, dried over anhydrous $Na₂SO₄$ and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by column chromatography (ethyl acetate/petroleum ether, 1:5; v/v) to get an inseparable mixture of regioisomers 38 and 39 (1.2:1, 124 mg, overall yield = 68%) as a colorless liquid.

To a solution of the above mixture of 38 and 39 (85 mg, 0.13 mmol) in pyridine (5 mL), BzCl (0.02 mL, 0.22 mmol) and DMAP (5 mg) were added at room temperature. The reaction mixture was stirred for 4 h at rt. When the reaction was complete (monitored by TLC), pyridine was evaporated under reduced pressure. The residue was dissolved in ethyl acetate (100 mL) and washed with water and brine. The organic layer was separated, dried over anhydrous $Na₂SO₄$ and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude product thus obtained was purified by flash column chromatography (ethyl acetate/ petroleum ether, 1:9; v/v) to get the required isomer 40 (52.3 mg, 36% after two steps) as a colorless oil and also isomer 40A (43.6 mg, 30% after two steps).

Inseparable Mixture of 38 and 39. $\rm{^1H}$ NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 0.55–0.60 (m, 12H), 0.85–0.89 (m, 18H), 3.42–3.48 (m, 2H), 3.71−3.73 (m, 12H), 3.78 (dd, J = 9.4 Hz, 7.1 Hz, 1H), 3.82− 3.88 (m, 2H), 4.00−4.01 (m, 1H), 4.11−4.13 (m, 1H), 4.37 (d, J = 6.4 Hz, 1H), 4.47−4.55 (m, 5H), 4.64−4.78 (m, 6H), 4.92 (d, J = 13.4 Hz, 1H), 5.70 (s, 1H), 5.75 (s, 1H), 6.77−6.82 (m, 8H), 7.18−7.24 (m, 10H), 7.37 (dd, J = 14.4 Hz, 7.65 Hz, 4H), 7.47−7.50 (m, 2H), 7.94−7.97 (m, 4H).

40: ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 0.63 (q, J = 8 Hz, 6H, $-CH_2CH_3$), 0.92 (t, J = 8.0 Hz, 9H, $-CH_2CH_3$), 3.73–3.76 (m, 7H, $-OCH_3$, H-3), 4.35 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1H, H-1), 4.49 (d, J = 11.6 Hz, 1H, $-OCH_A-p-MeOPh$), 4.59–4.62 (m, 3H, $-OCH_AH_B-p-MeOPh$, H-4), 4.68 (d, J = 10.7 Hz, 1H, -OCH_A-p-MeOPh), 4.88 (d, J = 13.4 Hz, 1H, H-7A), 4.92 (d, J = 13.3 Hz, 1H, H-7A'), 5.64 (dd, J = 9.7 Hz, 7.7 Hz, 1H, H-2), 5.92 (s, 1H, H-6), 6.68 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2H, Ar−H), 6.72 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2H, Ar−H), 7.04 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2H, Ar−H), 7.14 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2H, Ar−H), 7.44 (t, J = 7.8 Hz, 2H, Ar−H), 7.49 (t, J = 7.7 Hz, 2H, Ar−H), 7.56−7.61 (m, 2H, Ar−H), 8.0 (dd, J = 7.1 Hz, 1.25 Hz, 2H, Ar−H), 8.09 (dd, J = 7.1 Hz, 1.25 Hz, 2H, Ar−H); 13C NMR $(125 \text{ MHz}, \text{CDCl}_3)$ δ 5.0 (-CH₂CH₃), 6.9 (-CH₂CH₃), 55.1 (-OCH₃), 55.2 (-OCH3), 64.4 (C-7), 70.6, 72.4 (C-4), 74.3, 74.5 (C-5), 77.2 (C-2), 82.2 (C-4), 113.4, 113.7, 125.0 (C-6), 128.2, 128.4, 128.9, 129.5, 129.6, 129.7, 129.9, 129.9, 130.1, 130.14, 132.9, 133.1, 136.4, 158.8, 159.1, 165.6, 166.1. Elemental analysis calcd for $C_{43}H_{50}O_9Si$: C, 69.89; H, 6.82. Found: C, 69.62; H, 7.00.

40A: ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 0.69 (q, J = 8.0 Hz, 6H, $-CH_2CH_3$), 0.98 (t, J = 8.0 Hz, 9H, $-CH_2CH_3$), 3.67 (s, 3H), 3.77 (dd, $J = 10.0$ Hz, 8.0 Hz, 1H, H-3), 3.82 (s, 3H), 3.99 (dd, $J = 9.5$ Hz, 7.5 Hz, 1H, H-2), 4.09 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H, H-1), 4.57−4.67 (m, 3H), 4.75−4.78 (m, 3H), 5.93 (s, 1H, H-6), 6.03 (d, J = 7.1 Hz, 1H, H-4), 6.59 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2H, Ar−H), 6.89 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 2H, Ar−H), 7.09 $(d, J = 8.6 \text{ Hz}, 2H, Ar-H)$, 7.31 $(d, J = 8.6 \text{ Hz}, 1H, Ar-H)$, 7.38 $(dd, J$ = 16.9 Hz, 8.1 Hz, 4H, Ar−H), 7.49−7.56 (m, 3H, Ar−H), 7.86−7.88 (m, 2H, Ar−H), 7.93 (m, 2H, Ar−H).

Uvamalol A (8). To a solution of 40 (10 mg, 0.013 mmol) in DCM (5 mL), TFA (0.25 mL) was added at rt, and the reaction was stirred for 30 min at the same temperature. When the reaction was complete (by TLC), TFA was quenched by adding aqueous $NAHCO₃$ solution, and then ethyl acetate (20 mL) was added to the reaction mixture. The organic layer was washed successively with water and brine, and then separated, dried over anhydrous $Na₂SO₄$ and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude product thus obtained was purified by flash column chromatography (ethyl acetate/petroleum ether, 1:1, v/v) to obtain uvamalol A (8) (4.5 mg, 86%) as a white solid. $^1\mathrm{H}$ NMR and $^{13}\mathrm{C}$ NMR spectrum of 8 was identical to the reported data:²⁷ mp 146−148 °C; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CD₃OD) δ 3.80 (dd, J = 10.8 Hz, 7.9 Hz, 1H), 4.38 (d, J = 7.8 Hz, [1H](#page-10-0)), 4.49 (d, $J = 8.3$ Hz, 1H), 4.88–4.92 (m, 1H), 5.06 (d, $J =$ 13.4 Hz, 1H), 5.23 (dd, J = 10.8 Hz, 8.3 Hz, 1H), 5.83 (s, 1H), 7.49− 7.54 (m, 4H), 7.61−7.67 (m, 2H), 8.09−8.12 (m, 4H); 13C NMR $(125 \text{ MHz}, \text{CD}_3\text{OD}) \delta 65.1, 71.0, 73.6, 75.8, 78.8, 78.84, 128.6, 129.4,$ 129.7, 130.6, 130.8, 131.4, 131.9, 134.1, 134.4, 136.8, 167.8, 168.0.

Structural Assignment of 17 by Acetylation. To a solution of 17 (5 mg, 0.014 mmol) and triethylamine (1 mL) in DCM (5 mL), acetic anhydride (0.01 mL) was added, and the reaction mixture was stirred for overnight at room temperature. The solvent was evaporated under reduced pressure, the residue was dissolved in ethyl acetate and washed successively with water, aqueous NaHCO_3 solution and brine. The organic layer was separated, dried over anhydrous $Na₂SO₄$ and concentrated. Flash column chromatography (ethyl acetate/petroleum ether, 1:9, v/v) gave triacetate 46 (structure was confirmed by COSY and comparing the coupling constants of various protons) as a colorless gum (6 mg, 88%): ^IH NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.91 (s, 3H, -OCOCH3), 1.97 (s, 3H, -OCOCH3), 1.98 (s, 3H, -OCOCH3), 4.00 (dd, $J = 7.9$ Hz, 5.1 Hz, 1H, H-6), 4.25 (t, $J = 3.7$ Hz, 1H, H-2), 4.32 (d, $J = 13.2$ Hz, 1H, H-7A), 4.50 (d, $J = 11.9$ Hz, 1H, -OCH_APh), 4.57−4.63 (m, 4H, H-7A', -OCH_AH_BPh), 5.09 (dd, J = 7.9 Hz, 3.8 Hz, 1H, H-1), 5.45 (d, J = 4.8 Hz, 1H, H-5), 5.88 (d, J = 3.3 Hz, 1H, H-3), 7.27−7.20 (m, 10H, Ar−H).

Stereochemical Assignment of 18 by Acetylation. To a solution of 18 (5 mg, 0.014 mmol) and triethylamine (1 mL) in DCM (5 mL), acetic anhydride (0.01 mL) was added, and the reaction mixture was stirred at room temperature for 12 h. The solvent was evaporated off under reduced pressure, the residue was dissolved in ethyl acetate and washed successively with water, aqueous $NAHCO₃$ solution and brine. The organic layer was separated, dried over anhydrous $Na₂SO₄$ and concentrated under reduced pressure. Purification by flash column chromatography (ethyl acetate/petroleum ether, 1:9; v/v) gave triacetate 47 (structure was confirmed by COSY and comparing the coupling constants of various protons) as colorless oil (5 mg, 75%): ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.92 (s, 3H, $-OCOCH_3$), 1.97 (s, 3H, $-OCOCH_3$), 1.98 (s, 3H, $-OCOCH_3$), 4.11 (dd, J = 3.7 Hz, 2.0 Hz, 1H, H-6), 4.36−4.38 (m, 2H, H-2, H-7A), 4.51−4.63 (m, 5H, -OCHAHBPh, H-7A′), 5.08 (dd, J = 7.2 Hz, 2.0 Hz, 1H, H-1), 5.61 (s, 1H, H-5), 5.88 (s, 1H, H-3), 7.23−7.27 (m, 10H, $Ar-H$).

Stereochemical Assignment of 28 by Acetylation. In order to assign the stereochemistry of alcohol 28, we made its acetyl derivative 48. For this, a solution of 28 (10 mg, 0.01 mmol) and acetic anhydride (0.05 mL) in pyridine (5 mL) was stirred at room temperature for 3 h. The solvents were evaporated, the residue was dissolved in ethyl acetate and washed successively with water and brine. The organic layer was separated, dried over anhydrous $Na₂SO₄$ and evaporated under reduced pressure. The crude material thus obtained was purified by flash column chromatography (ethyl acetate/petroleum ether, 1:3; $\rm v/\rm v)$ to obtain the pure acetate 48 $(10 \ {\rm mg}, \, 94\%)$ as a colorless oil: $\rm ^1H$ NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 1.64−1.69 (m, 1H, H-5), 1.82 (s, 3H, OCOCH3), 3.22 (d, J = 7.7 Hz, 1H, H-7A), 3.42−3.44 (m, 1H, H-1), 3.48−3.50 (m, 2H, H-2, H-3), 3.60−3.61 (m, 2H, H-4, H-7A′), 3.71 (s, 3H, $-OCH_3$), 3.71 (s, 3H, $-OCH_3$), 4.29–4.30 (m, 2H, $-OCH_AH_BPh$), 4.49 (dd, J = 10.1 Hz, 9.6 Hz, 2H, $-OCH_AH_BPh$), 4.67−4.82 (m, 6H, -OCHAHBPh), 5.17 (dd, J = 10.9 Hz, 9.8 Hz, 1H, H-6), 6.73 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 2H, Ar−H), 6.76 (d, J = 8.7 Hz, 2H, Ar−H), 7.08−7.18 (m, 19H, Ar−H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 20.9, 44.5 (C-5), 55.2, 64.4, 70.3 (C-6), 73.3, 75.0, 75.4, 75.5, 75.8, 77.0 (C-4), 82.9, 83.2, 85.55, 113.7, 113.8, 127.5, 127.6, 127.6, 127.8, 127.9, 127.9, 128.2, 128.4, 128.4, 129.2, 129.3, 130.7, 130.8, 138.1, 138.5, 159.1, 169.7.

In ¹H NMR spectrum of the acetate 48, the most deshielded methyne proton (because of acetylation of the OH connected to the same carbon) at δ 5.17 (H-6; as per cyclophellitol numbering) showed a dd signal with $^{3}J_{\text{HH}}$ coupling constants 10.9 and 9.8 Hz, typical of a proton having two diaxially oriented protons on its either sides.

42. To a solution of 41 (500 mg, 2.0 mmol) in dry DMF, 60% NaH (170 mg, 4.2 mmol) was added at 0 $^{\circ}$ C in small portions. To this suspension, benzyl bromide (0.52 mL, 4.2 mmol) was added and stirred for an hour at 0 °C. Excess NaH was quenched with ice cold water. DMF was evaporated off in vacuo, and the residue was dissolved in EtOAc, washed with water and brine. The organic layer was separated, dried over anhydrous $Na₂SO₄$ and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by column chromatography (EtOAc/petroleum ether, 2:3; v/v) to give

compound 42 (452 mg, 51%) as a white solid: mp 56–58 °C; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 0.74 (t, J = 7 Hz, 3H, $-CH_2CH_2CH_2CH_3$), 1.14−1.17 (m, 2H, $-CH_2CH_2CH_2CH_3$), 1.22− 1.25 (m, 2H, $-CH_2CH_2CH_2CH_3$), 1.45 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 2H, $-CH_2CH_2CH_2CH_3$), 3.87 (s, 1H, H-5), 4.03 (s, 2H, H-4, H-6), 4.09 (s, 2H, H-1, H-3), 4.46 (s, 1H, H-2), 4.49 (d, J = 11.7 Hz, 2H, $-OCH_AH_BPh$), 4.56 (d, J = 11.7 Hz, 2H, $-OCH_AH_BPh$), 5.11 (s, 1H, OH), 7.19 (s, 10H, Ar–H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 14.3 $(-CH₃CH₂CH₂CH₂), 22.4 (-CH₃CH₂CH₂CH₂), 25.0$ $(-CH_3CH_2CH_2CH_2)$, 37.1 $(-CH_3CH_2CH_2CH_2)$, 59.0 $(C-5)$, 67.4 (C-2), 71.0 (-OCH2Ph), 73.3 (C-4, C-6), 74.3 (C-1, C-3), 109.5, 127.9, 123.0, 128.6, 138.6 (C-7). Elemental analysis calcd for $C_{25}H_{30}O_6$: C, 70.40; H, 7.09. Found: C, 70.44; H, 7.01.

(±)-(1R,3S,4R,5S,6S,2E/Z)-2-Methoxymethyl-4,6-di-O-(benzyl)-myo-inositol 1,3,5-orthopentanoate (44). To a solution of oxalyl chloride (0.07 mL, 0.84 mmol) in dichloromethane (5 mL), a solution of DMSO (0.06 mL, 0.84 mmol) in dichloromethane (5 mL) was added carefully at −78 °C, and the mixture was stirred for 5 min at the same temperature. Solution of compound 42 (140 mg, 0.32 mmol) in dichloromethane (5 mL) was added to the reaction mixture slowly at the same temperature, and the reaction mixture was stirred for 10 min before adding triethyl amine (0.22 mL). When the TLC showed completion of the reaction (10 min), the reaction mixture was diluted by adding chloroform (20 mL), and then the mixture was washed successively with aqueous $NAHCO₃$, water and brine. The organic layer was separated, dried over anhydrous $Na₂SO₄$ and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude product thus obtained was purified by flash column chromatography (ethyl acetate/petroleum ether, 1:4; v/v) to get ketone 43 (139 mg, 100%) along with the inseparable gemdiol as a white gummy material. This gummy material was dissolved in dry THF (5 mL) and was added dropwise to the orange suspension obtained by slowly adding a solution of potassium tert-butoxide (183 mg, 1.63 mmol) in dry THF (2 mL) to a solution of methoxymethyltriphenylphosphonium chloride (561 mg, 1.63 mmol) in dry THF (5 mL) at $0 °C$ under N_2 atmosphere. The mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature and then refluxed for 2 h. THF was evaporated in vacuo. The orange residue was taken in ethyl acetate and washed with water and brine. Organic layer was separated, dried over anhydrous $Na₂SO₄$ and concentrated under reduced pressure. Purification by flash column chromatography (ethyl acetate/petroleum ether, 1:4; v/v) gave enol ether 44 (140 mg, 94%) as a resin-like mass.

44: ¹H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 0.73 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 3H, $-CH_2CH_2CH_2CH_3$), 1.11−1.15 (m, 2H, $-CH_2CH_2CH_2CH_3$), 1.19− 1.24 (m, 2H, $-CH_2CH_2CH_2CH_3$), 1.42-1.45 (m, 2H, $-CH_2CH_2CH_2CH_3$), 3.24 (s, 3H, $-OCH_3$), 3.97 (d, J = 3.4 Hz, 1H, H-6), 4.03 (d, $J = 3.4$ Hz, 1H, H-4), 4.32 (t, $J = 3.3$ Hz, 1.6 Hz, 1H, H-1), 4.45 (t, J = 3.1 Hz, 1.3 Hz, 1H, H-5), 4.48−4.51 (m, 5H, $-CCH_AH_BPh$, 4.84 (d, J = 1.6 Hz, 1H, H-3), 6.27 (s, 1H, H-7), 7.21– 7.15 (m, 10H, Ar−H); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 14.3 $(-CH₃CH₂CH₂CH₂), 22.4 (-CH₃CH₂CH₂CH₂), 25.2$ $(-CH_3CH_2CH_2CH_2)$, 37.2 $(-CH_3CH_2CH_2CH_2)$, 60.2 $(-OCH_3)$, 66.8 $(C-3)$, 69.0 $(C-5)$, 70.2 $(-OCH_2Ph)$, 70.5 $(-OCH_2Ph)$, 71.3 $(C-1)$, 73.6 (C-6), 73.7 (C-4), 106.5, 110.9, 127.8, 127.96, 128.04, 128.5, 138.8, 138.9, 145.8 (C-7). HRMS (ESI) calcd for $C_{27}H_{33}O_6$ 453.2277, found 453.2269 (MH⁺).

45. To a solution of 44 (130 mg, 0.22 mmol) in THF (10 mL), 0.1 N aqueous HCl (2 mL) was added and stirred for 3 h at room temperature. THF was evaporated off in vacuo. Residue was dissolved in EtOAc and washed with $NAHCO₃$ solution, water and then with brine. Organic layer was separated, dried over anhydrous $Na₂SO₄$, and concentrated under reduced pressure. Mixture was purified by flash column chromatography (EtOAc/petroleum ether, 1:3; v/v) to give the compound 45 (125 mg, 100%) as a colorless sticky mass: $^1{\rm H}$ NMR (500 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 0.69–0.72 (m, 3H, -CH₂CH₂CH₂CH₃), 1.12−1.16 (m, 2H, -CH₂CH₂CH₂CH₃), 1.34−1.36 (m, 2H, $-CH_2CH_2CH_2CH_3$), 2.11−2.14 (m, 2H, $-CH_2CH_2CH_2CH_3$), 3.57 $(dd, J = 9.5$ Hz, 6.9 Hz, 1H, H-4), 4.40 $(d, J = 8.4$ Hz, 1H, H-6), 4.46 $(d, J = 11.9 \text{ Hz}, 1H, -OCH_APh)$, 4.51 (s, 1H, H-3), 4.52 (d, J = 11.8) Hz, 1H, -OCH_BPh), 4.63 (d, J = 11.8 Hz, 1H, -OCH_APh), 4.73 (d, J = 11.7, 1H, $\text{-OCH}_B\text{Ph}$), 4.97 (t, J = 9.2 Hz, 1H, H-5), 5.56 (d, J = 6.6 Hz,

1H, OH-3), 6.81 (s, 1H, H-1), 7.18−7.26 (m, 10H, Ar−H), 9.48 (s, 1H, -CHO); ¹³C NMR (125 MHz, DMSO- d_6) δ 14.0 (-CH₂CH₃), 22.0 (−CH₂CH₃), 26.9 (-COCH₂CH₂), 33.8 (-COCH₂), 69.2 (C-3), 71.2 (−CH2Ph), 73.3 (C-5), 73.9 (−CH2Ph), 76.5 (C-6), 82.4 (C-4), 127.7, 127.8, 128.1, 128.5, 128.7, 138.4, 139.1, 141.0, 143.7 (C-1), 172.5, 193.2 (C-7). HRMS (ESI) calcd for $C_{26}H_{31}O_6$ 439.2121, found 439.2118 (MH⁺).

■ ASSOCIATED CONTENT

S Supporting Information

Retrosynthetic analysis, the mechanistic illustration, crystal data (CIF) of 25 and spectroscopic data for all new compounds. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

■ [AUTHOR INF](http://pubs.acs.org)ORMATION

Corresponding Author

*E-mail: kms@iisertvm.ac.in

Notes

The auth[ors declare no com](mailto:kms@iisertvm.ac.in)peting financial interest.

■ ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

K.M.S. thanks the Department of Science and Technology, India, for financial support and Ramanujan Fellowship and CSIR for an EMR project grant.

■ REFERENCES

(1) Arjona, O.; Gomez, A. M.; Lopez, J. C.; Plumet, J. Chem. Rev. 2007, 107, 1919−2036.

(2) Mac, D. H.; Chandrashekhar, S.; Gree, R. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2012, 5881−5895.

- (3) Usami, Y.; Mizuki, K. J. Nat. Prod. 2011, 74, 877−881.
- (4) Marco-Contelles, J. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2001, 1607−1618.

(5) Mahmud, T. Nat. Prod. Rep. 2003, 20, 137−166.

(6) Atsumi, S.; Umezawa, K.; Iinuma, H.; Naganawa, H.; Nakamura,

H.; Iitaka, Y.; Takeucki, T. J. Antibiot. 1990, 43, 49−53.

(7) Atsumi, S.; Iinuma, H.; Nosaka, C.; Umezawa, K. J. Antibiot. 1990, 43, 1579−1585.

(8) Kameda, Y.; Asano, N.; Yoshikawa, M.; Matsui, K. J. Antibiot. 1982, 35, 1624−1626.

(9) Kameda, Y.; Asano, N.; Teranishi, M.; Matsui, K. J. Antibiot. 1980, 33, 1573−1574.

(10) (a) Bach, G.; Breiding, M. S.; Grabley, S.; Hammann, P.; Hütter, K.; Thiericke, R.; Uhr, H.; Wink, J.; Zeeck, A. Liebig. Ann. Chem. 1993, 241−250. (b) Huntley, C. F. M.; Hamilton, D. S.; Creighton, D. J.; Ganem, B. Org. Lett. 2000, 2, 3143−3144. (c) Tang, Y. Q.; Maul, C.; Höfs, R.; Sattler, I.; Grabley, S.; Feng, X. Z.; Zeek, A.; Thiericke, R. Eur. J. Org. Chem. 2000, 149−153.

(11) Isogai, A.; Sakuda, S.; Nakayama, J.; Watanabe, S.; Suzuki, A. Agric. Biol. Chem. 1987, 51, 2277−2279.

(12) Zhang, C.-S.; Stratmann, A.; Block, O.; Bruckner, R.; Podeschwa, M.; Altenbach, H.-J.; Wehmeier, U. F.; Piepersberg, W. J. Biol. Chem. 2002, 277, 22853−22863.

(13) Cyclophellitol: (a) Ishikawa, T.; Shimizu, Y.; Khdoh, T.; Saito, S. Org. Lett. 2003, 5, 3879−3882. (b) Kireev, A. S.; Breithaupt, A. T.; Collins, W.; Nadein, O. N.; Kornienko, A. J. Org. Chem. 2005, 70, 742−745. (c) Hansen, F. G.; Bundgaard, E.; Madsen, R. J. Org. Chem. 2005, 70, 10139−10142. (d) D'Antona, N.; Morrone, R.; Bovicelli, P.; Gambera, G.; Kubac, D.; Martinkova, L. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 2010, 21, 2448−2454. (e) Shing, T. K. M.; Tai, V. W.-F. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1993, 995−997. (f) Ziegler, F. E.; Wang, Y. J. Org. Chem. 1998, 63, 7920−7930. (g) Shing, T. K. M.; Tai, V. W.-F. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1994, 2017−2025. Valienamine: (h) Chen, X.; Fan, Y.; Zheng, Y.; Shen, Y. Chem. Rev. 2003, 103, 1955−1977. (i) Chang, Y.- K.; Lee, B.-Y.; Kim, D.-J.; Lee, G. S.; Jeon, H. B.; Kim, K. S. J. Org. Chem. 2005, 70, 3299−3302. (j) Kok, S. H. L.; Lee, C. C.; Shing, T. K.

The Journal of Organic Chemistry Article and the Second Secon

- M. J. Org. Chem. 2001, 66, 7184−7190. (k) Zhou, B.; Luo, Z.; Lin, S.; Li, Y. Synlett 2012, 913−916. (l) Paulsen, H.; Heiker, F. R. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1980, 19, 904−905. Streptol: (m) Mehta, G.; Pujar, S. R.; Ramesh, S. S.; Islam, K. Tetrahedron Lett. 2005, 46, 3373− 3376. Epi-streptol: (n) Shing, T. K. M.; Chen, Y.; Ng, W. L. Synlett 2011, 1318−1320. Gabosine G: (o) Shing, T. M.; Cheng, H. M. J. Org. Chem. 2007, 72, 6610−6613. (p) Krishna, P. R.; Kadiyala, P. R. Tetrahedron Lett. 2012, 53, 744−747.
- (14) Hollingsworth, R. I.; Wang, G. Chem. Rev. 2000, 100, 4267− 4282.
- (15) Gloster, T. M.; Vocaldo, D. J. Nat. Chem. Biol. 2012, 8, 683− 694.
- (16) Barton, D. H. R.; Gero, S. D.; Cleophax, J.; Machado, A. S.; Quiclet-Sire, B. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1988, 1184−1186.
- (17) Sarmah, M. P.; Shashidhar, M. S.; Sureshan, K. M.; Gonnade, R. G.; Bhadbhade, M. M. Tetrahedron 2005, 61, 4437−4446.
- (18) Camphell, A. S.; Thatcher, C. R. J. Tetrahedron Lett. 1991, 32, 2207−2210.
- (19) Riley, A. M.; Potter, B. V. L J. Org. Chem. 1995, 60, 4970−4971. (20) Luche, J.-L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1978, 100, 2226−2227.
- (21) Brown, H. C.; Subba Rao, B. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1959, 81, 6423−6428.
- (22) Thompson, A. S.; Humphrey, G. R.; DeMarco, A. M.; Mathre, D. J.; Grabowski, E. J. J. J. Org. Chem. 1993, 58, 5886-5888.
- (23) Schmidt, U.; Kroner, M.; Griesser, H. Synthesis 1991, 294−300. (24) Huang, Y.-C.; Chiang, L.-W.; Chang, K.-S.; Su, W.-C.; Lin, Y.-
- H.; Jeng, K.-C.; Lin, K.-I.; Liao, K.-Y.; Huang, H.-L.; Yu, C.-S. Molecules 2012, 17, 3058−3081.
- (25) Dess, D. B.; Martin, J. S. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1991, 113, 7277− 7287.
- (26) Ishihara, K.; Kurihara, H.; Yamamoto, H. J. Org. Chem. 1993, 58, 3791−3793.
- (27) Wang, S.; Zhang, P.-C.; Chen, R.-Y.; Dai, S.-J.; Yu, S.-S.; Yu, D.- Q. J. Asian Nat. Prod. Res. 2005, 7, 687-694.
- (28) Chida, N.; Koizumi, K.; Kitada, Y.; Yokoyama, C.; Ogawa, S. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1994, 111−113.
- (29) Sato, K.-I.; Akai, S.; Sugita, N.; Ohsawa, T.; Kogure, T.; Shoji, H.; Yoshimura, J. J. Org. Chem. 2005, 70, 7496−7504.
- (30) Chida, N.; Furuno, Y.; Ogawa, S. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1989, 1230−1231.
- (31) Sureshan, K. M.; Murakami, T.; Watanabe, Y. Tetrahedron 2009, 65, 3998−4006.
- (32) (a) Potter, B. V. L; Lampe, D. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1995, 34, 1933−1972. (b) Riley, A. M.; Mahon, M. F.; Potter, B. V. L Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. Engl. 1997, 36, 1472−1474. (c) Sureshan, K. M.; Shashidhar, M. S.; Praveen, T.; Das, T. Chem. Rev. 2003, 103, 4477− 4504.
- (33) (a) Baeschlin, D. K.; Chaperon, A. R.; Green, L. G.; Hahn, M. G.; Ince, S. J.; Ley, S. V. Chem.-Eur. J. 2000, 6, 172-186. (b) Sculimbrene, B. R.; Xu, Y.; Miller, S. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2004, 126, 13182−13183. (c) Chung, C.-C.; Zulueta, M. M. L.; Padiyar, L. T.; Hung, S.-C. Org. Lett. 2011, 13, 5496−5499. (d) Baeschlin, D. K.; Chaperon, A. R.; Charbonneau, V.; Green, L. G.; Ley, S. V.; Lü cking, U.; Walther, E. Angew. Chem., Int. Ed. 1998, 37, 3423−3428.
- (34) (a) Patil, P. S.; Hung, S.-C. Org. Lett. 2010, 12, 2618−2621. (b) Riley, A. M.; Godage, H. Y.; Mahon, M. F.; Potter, B. V. L Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 2006, No. 17, 171−174. (c) Garrett, S. W.; Liu, C. S.; Riley, A. M.; Potter, B. V. L J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1998, 1367−1368. (d) Sureshan, K. M.; Watanabe, Y. Tetrahedron: Asymmetry 2004, 15, 1193−1198.
- (35) Ozaki, S.; Lei, L. Carbohydrates in Drug Design; Witczak, Z. J., Nieforth, K. A., Eds.; Marcel Dekker: New York, 1997; p 343.
- (36) Schlessinger, R. H.; Bergstrom, C. P. J. Org. Chem. 1995, 60, 16−17.